Vehicular cloud computing: from intelligent transport to urban surveillance

ICMU 2012 Keynote Address Okinawa, May 22, 2012

Mario Gerla UCLA, Computer Science Dept

Outline

• The emergence of vehicular communications: VII and "Connected Vehicle"

- Initial applications: safety and content distrinution

Vehicular Cloud computing

- Principles and Challenges
- Vehicle Cloud vs Internet Cloud

• Two Vehicle Cloud Applications:

- Urban surveillance
- Vehicular Traffic Management
- Future Directions

The Vehicle Transport Challenge

Safety

- 33,963 deaths/year (2009)
- 5,800,000 crashes/year
- Leading cause of death for ages 4 to 34

Mobility

- 4.2 billion hours of travel delay
- \$78 billion cost of urban congestion

Environment

- 2.9 billion gallons of wasted fuel
- 22% CO₂ from vehicles



In 2003 DOT launches: Vehicle Infrastr. Integration (VII)

- VII Consortium: USDOT, automakers, suppliers, ..
- Goal: V2V and V2I comms protocols to prevent accidents
 - Technology validation;
 - Business Model Evaluation
 - Legal structure, policies
- Testbeds: Michigan, Oakland (California)
- However: 10 year to deploy 300,000 RSUs and install DSRC on 100% cars
- Meanwhile: can do lots with 3G and smart phones
- Can we speed up "proof of concept"?
 Enter Connected Vehicle (2009-2014)

The Connected Vehicle Program

- Previous Veh Infrastr Integr (VII) Model (2003-9)
 - DSRC based for all applications
 - Start with V2I (for all application types) and evolve into V2V (safety)
- Current DOT's Connected Vehicle Plan
 - Non-safety (mobility, environment)
 - Leverage existing data sources & communications; include DSRC as it becomes available
 - Safety → DSRC
 - Aggressively pursue V2V;
 - Can leveraging of nomadic devices & retrofitting accelerate benefits?

Emerging Application Areas

- Safe Navigation
- Location Relevant Content Distr.
- Entertainment, Games
- Urban Sensing
- Efficient, Intelligent, Clean Transport

V2V for Safe navigation

- Forward Collision Warning,
- Intersection Collision Warning.....
- Advisories to other vehicles about road perils

- "Ice on bridge", "Congestion ahead",....

Car to Car communications for Safe Driving

Vehicle type: Cadillac XLR Curb weight: 3,547 lbs Speed: 75 mph Acceleration: **+ 20m/sec^2** Coefficient of friction: .65 Driver Attention: Yes Etc. Curb weight: 3,547 lbs Speed: 65 mph Acceleration: - 5m/sec^2 Coefficient of friction: .65 Driver Attention: Yes Etc.

Vehicle type: Cadillac XLR

t Status: Inattentive Driver on Right Alert Status: Slowing vehicle ahead Alert Status: Passing vehicle on tort

Alert Status: Non

Vehicle type: Cadillac XLR Curb weight: 3,547 lbs Speed: 75 mph Acceleration: + 10m/sec^2 Coefficient of friction: .65 Driver Attention: Yes Etc.

Alert Status: Passing Vehicle on left

Vehicle type: Cadillac XLR Curb weight: 3,547 lbs Speed: 45 mph Acceleration: - 20m/sec^2 Coefficient of friction: .65 Driver Attention: No Etc.

Alert Status

Location relevant content delivery

- Traffic information
- Local attractions, advertisements
- Tourist information, etc

CarTorrent : cooperative download of location multimedia files

You are driving to Vegas You hear of this new show on the radio Video preview on the web (10MB)



One option: Highway Infostation download



Incentive for opportunistic "ad hoc networking"

Problems:

Stopping at gas station for full download is a nuisance Downloading from GPRS/3G too slow and quite expensive 3G broadcast services (MBMS, MediaFLO) only for TV

Observation: many other drivers are interested in download sharing

Solution: Co-operative P2P Downloading via Car-Torrent (like Bit Torrent in the Internet)

CarTorrent: Basic Idea



Co-operative Download: Car Torrent



Vehicular Cloud computing

Observed trends:

1. Vehicles perform increasingly more complex (sensor) data collection/processing

road alarms (pedestrian crossing, electr. brake lights, etc) cooperative content downloading via P2P car- torrent surveillance (video, mechanical, chemical sensors) road mapping via "crowd sourcing" accident, crime witnessing (for forensic investigations, etc)

2. Spectrum is scarce => Internet upload expensive
 Enter Vehicular Cloud Computing:
 Keep and process data on *vehicle cloud* instead of uploading to *Internet cloud*

Vehicle Cloud vs Internet Cloud

• Both offer a significant pool of resources:

- computing, storage, communications

However:

Vehicular applications are mainly location relevant

- Data Sources: Drivers or environment
- Customers: generally the drivers

• Vehicle cloud interacts with:

- Internet cloud
- Personal (smart phone) cloud

Exception: emergency VANET

- During an emergency the infrastructure is destroyed
- Emergency cloud is isolated, works on its own power
- Vehicle (especially E-vehicles) become the ONLY available computing/comms resource

We review two emerging Vehicle Cloud applications

• Surveillance

- Monitoring the environment events (natural or manmade)

• Urban Traffic management:

- From totally centralized to locally distributed to evacuation

Surveillance Scenario: storage and retrieval

- Participating Cars (busess, taxicabs, commuters):
 - Continuously collect images from the street (store data locally)
 - Process the data and detect an event
 - Classify the event as Meta-data (Type, Option, Loc, time, Vehicle ID)
- Question: how to access this info?



Mobility-assisted Meta-data Diffusion/Harvesting (Mobeyes 2007)



MobEyes: Mobility-assisted Epidemic Dissemination

- Mobeyes exploit "mobility" to disseminate metadata!
- Source periodically broadcasts meta-data to neighbors
 - Only source can advertise meta-data
 - Neighbors store advertisements in their local memory
 - Drop stale data
- A mobile agent (the police) harvests meta-data from vehicles by querying them (with Bloom filter)

Urban Surveillance as a Service

Suppose a green truck was involved in a suicidal terrorist attack

- The truck blows up
- The Agency wants to find out the approach path of the vehicle
- Wants to learn if any accomplices drove along with the truck up to the target
- Conventional solution: "road side" video cameras
 - Sophisticated attacker can avoid video cameras, or disable them
- Mobeyes based solution:
 - Reconstruct the path by using the other vehicles video cameras
- We have run a simulation experiment in a 2kmx2km area, with 100 vehicles roaming

Vehicles watch each other and agent reconstructs all paths

Trace Reconstruction



Trace Reconstruction



Vehicle Cloud for intelligent navigation

- GPS Based Navigators
- Dash Express (came to market in 2008):



Synergy between Navigator Server and City Transport Authority

NAVOPT – Navigator Assisted Route Optimization

On Board Navigator

- Interacts with the Server
- Periodically transmits GPS and route
- Receives route instructions

•Manhattan grid (10x10)

- 5 routes (F1~ F5) from source to destination
- Link capacity: 14,925 [vehicles/h]



Analytic Results

Total average delay (h/veh)



Sumo simulation results

• Sumo-0.12

- 10 X10 grid
- Road segment: 400m
- Length of vehicle: 4m
- Max speed limit: 60Km/h

• Average delay

- Delay increases drastically around 15000 rate [veh/h] in case of shortest path
- In NAVOPT, delay slightly increases around 20000



Distributed traffic management

- Centralized traffic management cannot react promptly to local traffic perturbations
 - A doubled parked truck in the next block; A traffic accident; A sudden surge of traffic
 - Internet based Navigator Server cannot micro-manage traffic for scalability reasons
- Enter distributed, v-cloud based traffic mgmt
 - Distributed approach a good complement of centralized supervision
 - "On the Effectiveness of an Opportunistic Traffic Management System for Vehicular Networks", Leontiadis et al, IEEE Trans on ITS Dec 2011

CATE: Comp Assisted Travl Environment

• Vehicles crowd source traffic information and traffic load data base:

- 1) sensing traffic information;
- 2) sharing it with neighboring vehicles (in an ad hoc manner); and
- 3) dynamically recomputing the best route to destination from the current position based on the collected information.

• The study was done by simulation:

- QUALNET a popular event driven MANET simulator, and
- MobiDense, a mobility simulator that combines topology and traffic flow information to generate a mobility trace.
- Case Study: Traffic pattern for Portland obtained from Los Alamos Lab

• Potential limitations of CATE:

- Delay in traffic loads propagation; lack of trip destination info

Traffic loading w/o CATE



Green no congest Yellow moderate Red heavy congest

Traffic loading with CATE



Green no congest Yellow moderate Red heavy congest

Information Propagation Speed



Two-dimensional Heatmap of age of received information (in seconds) about the link highlighted by the arrow (bridge).

CATE tested on C-VET

- Up to 8 vehicles roaming the Campus with GPS, WiFi radios and 250m range
- Static throughput between two nodes = 30Mps
- At 30km/h througput = 7Mbps
- Propagation of a 2MB block (traffic sample) from one node to the other 7 nodes:
 - First vehicle received full block in 20s
 - Next four in < 72s
 - Last two in < 125s

Work ahead in Vehicle Cloud research

Vehicle cloud formation and maintenance

- Subclouds with common "social" interests
- In-Cloud networking
 - Cloud Content dissemination, storage, indexing, search (Content Centric Networking)

- Efficient spectrum usage (via cog radios)

- Exploit WiFi spectrum short range
- Exploit TV spectrum long range connections (to other vehicle clouds)
- User-centric security and privacy protection
- Interaction with Internet Cloud
- Interaction with pedestrian (people) clouds

The End

Thank You